

# TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS - TEMPO

## SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 04.01.19	Surveyor: SAM MONN + JEZ RUTTS
----------------	--------------------------------

<b>Tree details</b>	Tree/Group No: T1	Species: COBBIAN PINE
TPO Ref (if applicable):	Location: FRONT GARDEN OF 10 SPUMBERCOWE HALL ROAD	
Owner (if known):		

### REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

#### Part 1: Amenity assessment

##### a) Condition & suitability for TPO

- |                          |                         |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| 5) <u>Good</u>           | Highly suitable         |
| 3) Fair/satisfactory     | Suitable                |
| 1) Poor                  | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 0) Dead/dying/dangerous* | Unsuitable              |

<b>Score &amp; Notes</b>
5

\* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

##### b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO

- |           |                 |
|-----------|-----------------|
| 5) 100+   | Highly suitable |
| 4) 40-100 | Very suitable   |
| 2) 20-40  | Suitable        |
| 1) 10-20  | Just suitable   |
| 0) <10*   | Unsuitable      |

<b>Score &amp; Notes</b>
4

\*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

##### c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

- |   |                     |
|---|---------------------|
| 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees    | Highly suitable     |
| 4) <u>Large trees</u> , or medium trees clearly visible to the public | Suitable            |
| 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only                | Suitable            |
| 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty   | Barely suitable     |
| 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size                | Probably unsuitable |

<b>Score &amp; Notes</b>
4

##### d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- |  |  |                          |   |
|--|--|--------------------------|---|
| 5) Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees                    | <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><b>Score &amp; Notes</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: middle;">2</td> </tr> </table> | <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> | 2 |
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b>   |  |                          |   |
| 2  |  |                          |   |
| 4) Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion                    |  |                          |   |
| 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance                       |  |                          |   |
| 2) <u>Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual</u>                       |  |                          |   |
| 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form) |  |                          |   |
- 1) Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location

#### Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 10 or more points to qualify

- |   |  |                          |   |
|---|--|--------------------------|---|
| 5) Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice | <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><b>Score &amp; Notes</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: middle;">2</td> </tr> </table> | <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> | 2 |
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b>                      |  |                          |   |
| 2   |  |                          |   |
| 3) Foreseeable threat to tree                 |  |                          |   |
| 2) <u>Perceived threat to tree</u>            |  |                          |   |
| 1) Precautionary only                         |  |                          |   |

#### Part 3: Decision guide

- |       |                       |
|-------|-----------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO      |
| 1-6   | TPO indefensible      |
| 7-11  | Does not merit TPO    |
| 12-15 | TPO defensible        |
| 16+   | Definitely merits TPO |

<b>Add Scores for Total:</b>
17

<b>Decision:</b>
TPO

# TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS - TEMPO

## SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 04.01.19	Surveyor: SAM TROKUN + JEZ PLATTIS
----------------	------------------------------------

<b>Tree details</b>	Tree/Group No: TZ	Species: LARKUM - LARKIX DECIDUA
TPO Ref (if applicable):	Location: FRONT GARDEN of 10 STAMPEXLOWE HALL RD	
Owner (if known):		

### REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

**Part 1: Amenity assessment**

**a) Condition & suitability for TPO**

- 5) Good Highly suitable
- 3) Fair/satisfactory Suitable
- 1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable
- 0) Dead/dying/dangerous\* Unsuitable

\* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

<b>Score &amp; Notes</b>
5

**b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO**

- 5) 100+ Highly suitable
- 4) 40-100 Very suitable
- 2) 20-40 Suitable
- 1) 10-20 Just suitable
- 0) <10\* Unsuitable

\* Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

<b>Score &amp; Notes</b>
4

**c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO**

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

- 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees Highly suitable
- 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public Suitable
- 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only Suitable
- 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty Barely suitable
- 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size Probably unsuitable

<b>Score &amp; Notes</b>
3

**d) Other factors**

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- 5) Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- 4) Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion
- 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance
- 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
- 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form)
- 1) Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location

<b>Score &amp; Notes</b>
1

**Part 2: Expediency assessment**

Trees must have accrued 10 or more points to qualify

- 5) Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice
- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only

<b>Score &amp; Notes</b>
3

**Part 3: Decision guide**

- Any 0 Do not apply TPO
- 1-6 TPO indefensible
- 7-11 Does not merit TPO
- 12-15 TPO defensible
- 16+ Definitely merits TPO

<b>Add Scores for Total:</b>
16

<b>Decision:</b>
TPO

# TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS - TEMPO

## SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 04.01.19	Surveyor: SAM THORN & JEL PHATTS
----------------	----------------------------------

<b>Tree details</b>	Tree/Group No: T3	Species: LARCH - LARIX DECIDUA
TPO Ref (if applicable):	Location: FRONT GARDEN OF 10 SUMMERLOVE HILL RD	
Owner (if known):		

### REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

**Part 1: Amenity assessment**

**a) Condition & suitability for TPO**

- 5) Good Highly suitable
- 3) Fair/satisfactory Suitable
- 1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable
- 0) Dead/dying/dangerous\* Unsuitable

<b>Score &amp; Notes</b>  3
-----------------------------------

\* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

**b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO**

- 5) 100+ Highly suitable
- 4) 40-100 Very suitable
- 2) 20-40 Suitable
- 1) 10-20 Just suitable
- 0) <10\* Unsuitable

<b>Score &amp; Notes</b>  4
-----------------------------------

\*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

**c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO**

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

- 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees Highly suitable
- 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public Suitable
- 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only Suitable
- 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty Barely suitable
- 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size Probably unsuitable

<b>Score &amp; Notes</b>  3
-----------------------------------

**d) Other factors**

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- 5) Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- 4) Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion
- 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance
- 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
- 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form)
- 1) Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location

<b>Score &amp; Notes</b>  1
-----------------------------------

**Part 2: Expediency assessment**

Trees must have accrued 10 or more points to qualify

- 5) Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice
- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only

<b>Score &amp; Notes</b>  5
-----------------------------------

**Part 3: Decision guide**

- Any 0 Do not apply TPO
- 1-6 TPO indefensible
- 7-11 Does not merit TPO
- 12-15 TPO defensible
- 16+ Definitely merits TPO

<b>Add Scores for Total:</b>  16
--

<b>Decision:</b>  TPO
-----------------------------

# TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS - TEMPO

## SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 04.01.19	Surveyor: SAM THOMAS + JESS PLATT
----------------	-----------------------------------

<b>Tree details</b>	Tree/Group No: T4	Species: LARIX - LARIX DECIDUA
TPO Ref (if applicable):	Location: FRONT GARDEN OF 10 SUMMERLOVE HALL RD	
Owner (if known):		

### REFER TO GUIDANCE NOTE FOR ALL DEFINITIONS

**Part 1: Amenity assessment**

**a) Condition & suitability for TPO**

- |                          |                         |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|
| 5) Good                  | Highly suitable         |
| 3) Fair/satisfactory     | Suitable                |
| 1) Poor                  | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 0) Dead/dying/dangerous* | Unsuitable              |

<b>Score &amp; Notes</b>
5

\* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

**b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO**

- |           |                 |
|-----------|-----------------|
| 5) 100+   | Highly suitable |
| 4) 40-100 | Very suitable   |
| 2) 20-40  | Suitable        |
| 1) 10-20  | Just suitable   |
| 0) <10*   | Unsuitable      |

<b>Score &amp; Notes</b>
4

\* Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

**c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO**

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

- |   |                     |
|---|---------------------|
| 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees  | Highly suitable     |
| 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public       | Suitable            |
| 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only              | Suitable            |
| 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty | Barely suitable     |
| 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size              | Probably unsuitable |

<b>Score &amp; Notes</b>
4

**d) Other factors**

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- |  |  |                          |   |
|--|--|--------------------------|---|
| 5) Principal components of formal arboricultural features, or veteran trees                    | <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><b>Score &amp; Notes</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: middle;">1</td> </tr> </table> | <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> | 1 |
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b>   |  |                          |   |
| 1  |  |                          |   |
| 4) Tree groups, or principal members of groups important for their cohesion                    |  |                          |   |
| 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance                       |  |                          |   |
| 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual                              |  |                          |   |
| 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form) |  |                          |   |
- 1) Trees with poor form or which are generally unsuitable for their location

**Part 2: Expediency assessment**

Trees must have accrued 10 or more points to qualify

- |   |  |                          |   |
|---|--|--------------------------|---|
| 5) Immediate threat to tree inc. s.211 Notice | <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"><b>Score &amp; Notes</b></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; vertical-align: middle;">5</td> </tr> </table> | <b>Score &amp; Notes</b> | 5 |
| <b>Score &amp; Notes</b>                      |  |                          |   |
| 5   |  |                          |   |
| 3) Foreseeable threat to tree                 |  |                          |   |
| 2) Perceived threat to tree                   |  |                          |   |
| 1) Precautionary only                         |  |                          |   |

**Part 3: Decision guide**

- |       |                       |
|-------|-----------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO      |
| 1-6   | TPO indefensible      |
| 7-11  | Does not merit TPO    |
| 12-15 | TPO defensible        |
| 16+   | Definitely merits TPO |

<b>Add Scores for Total:</b>
19

<b>Decision:</b>
TPO